

Regularities for distribution dependent SDEs with fractional noises

Xiliang Fan

Joint work with Xing Huang and Zewei Ling

Anhui Normal University

The 18th Workshop on Markov Processes and Related Topics,
Tianjin University, July 30-August 2, 2023

- 1 The problem and related works
- 2 Main results of DDSDE
 - Well-posedness
 - The log-Harnack inequalities and Bismut formulas
 - The non-degenerate case
 - The degenerate case
- 3 Further problems and main references

The problem and related works

Distribution dependent stochastic differential equations (DDSDs), also called McKean-Vlasov or mean-field SDEs, is of the form:

$$dX_t = b_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dW_t, \quad X_0 = \xi \in L^p(\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}).$$

where W is a Brownian motion and \mathcal{L}_{X_t} denotes the law of X_t .

- F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution dependent SDEs for Landau type equations*, SPA, 2018.
- D. Baños, *The Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula for mean-field stochastic differential equations*, AIHP, 2018.
- P.P. Ren and F.-Y. Wang, *Bismut formula for Lions derivative of distribution dependent SDEs and applications*, JDE, 2019.
- M. Röckner and X.C. Zhang, *Well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts*, Bernoulli, 2021.
- W. Liu, Y.L. Song, J.L. Zhai and T.S. Zhang, *Large and moderate deviation principles for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with jumps*, PA, 2022.
- X. Huang and F.-Y. Wang, *Regularities and exponential ergodicity in entropy for SDEs driven by distribution dependent noise*, arXiv:2209.14619.
- V. Barbu and M. Röckner, *Uniqueness for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations and for McKean-Vlasov SDEs: The degenerate case*, JFA, 2023.

The problem and related works

DDSDEs driven by fractional Brownian motion (FBM) B^H with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$:

$$dX_t = b_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma_t(\mathcal{L}_{X_t})dB_t^H, \quad X_0 = \xi \in L^p(\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}).$$

- X.L. Fan, X. Huang, Y.Q. Suo and C.G. Yuan, *Distribution dependent SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motions*, SPA, 2022.
- X.L. Fan, T. Yu and C.G. Yuan, *Asymptotic behaviors for distribution dependent SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motions*, SPA, 2023.
- L. Galeati, F.A. Harang and A. Mayorcas, *Distribution dependent SDEs driven by additive fractional Brownian motion*, PTRF, 2023.
- G.J. Shen, J. Xiang and J.L. Wu, *Averaging principle for distribution dependent stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion and standard Brownian motion*, JDE, 2022.

The problem and related works

Our concerned equation:

$$dX_t = b_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma_t dB_t^H + \tilde{\sigma}_t(\mathcal{L}_{X_t})d\tilde{B}_t^{\tilde{H}}, \quad X_0 = \xi, \quad (1)$$

where $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{\sigma} : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, ξ is an \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable, and $B^H, \tilde{B}^{\tilde{H}}$ are respectively two independent FBMs with Hurst parameters $H \in (0, 1)$ and $\tilde{H} \in (1/2, 1)$ independent of ξ , and the stochastic integral can be regarded as the Wiener integral.

- A d -FBM $(B_t^H)_{t \in [0, T]} = (B_t^{H,1}, \dots, B_t^{H,d})_{t \in [0, T]}$ with $H \in (0, 1)$ is a centered, H -self similar Gaussian process with the covariance function $\mathbb{E}(B_t^{H,i} B_s^{H,j}) = R_H(t, s) \delta_{i,j}$, where

$$R_H(t, s) := \frac{1}{2} \left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H} \right), \quad t, s \in [0, T].$$

- The FBM generalizes the standard Wiener process ($H = 1/2$) and has stationary increments. However, the increments are correlated with a power law correlation decay, which asserts the FBM is a non-Markovian process that is the dominant feature of equation (1).

The problem and related works

Our aim:

- 1 To prove the well-posedness of (1).
- 2 To investigate the regularity for (1).

For the second aim, we will study the regularity of the maps

$$\mu \mapsto P_t^* \mu, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where $P_t^* \mu := \mathcal{L}_{X_t}$ for X_t solving (1) with initial distribution $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} = \mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Observe that a probability measure is determined by integrals of $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it suffices to investigate the regularity of the functionals

$$\mu \mapsto (P_t f)(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d(P_t^* \mu), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), t \in [0, T].$$

More precisely, with regards to equation (1), we address the following question:

(Question) Under what conditions does the functional $P_t f$ have dimensional-free Harnack inequalities and Bismut formulas?

The problem and related works

Our motivation:

- D. Baños (AIHP, 2018) investigated the sensitivity of prices of options w.r.t. the initial value of the underlying asset price, and pointed out that the Bismut formula gives a better approximation of the sensitivity.
- The Harnack inequality may imply the gradient estimate and entropy estimate.
- X.L. Fan, X. Huang, Y.Q. Suo and C.G. Yuan (SPA, 2022) shown that for distribution-free noise ($\tilde{\sigma} = 0$ in equation (1), i.e. $dX_t = b_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma_t dB_t^H$, $X_0 = \xi$), Bismut formulas for $P_t f$ are established by using Malliavin calculus. However, for distribution dependent noise, these formulas are still open.

Well-posedness

DDSDE driven by two independent fractional Brownian motions B^H and $\tilde{B}^{\tilde{H}}$:

$$dX_t = b_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma_t dB_t^H + \tilde{\sigma}_t(\mathcal{L}_{X_t})d\tilde{B}_t^{\tilde{H}}, \quad X_0 = \xi, \quad (2)$$

where $H \in (0, 1)$, $\tilde{H} \in (1/2, 1)$, $\xi \in L^p(\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P})$ with $p \geq 1$, and the coefficients $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, $\tilde{\sigma} : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ are measurable functions.

(H1) There exists a non-decreasing function κ_\cdot such that for every $t \in [0, T]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|b_t(x, \mu) - b_t(y, \nu)| \leq \kappa_t(|x - y| + \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu)), \quad \|\tilde{\sigma}_t(\mu) - \tilde{\sigma}_t(\nu)\| \leq \kappa_t \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu),$$

and

$$|b_t(0, \delta_0)| + \|\sigma_t\| + \|\tilde{\sigma}_t(\delta_0)\| \leq \kappa_t.$$

For any $p \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{S}^p([0, T])$ be the space of \mathbb{R}^d -valued, continuous $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted processes ψ on $[0, T]$ satisfying

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} := \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\psi_t|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Definition

A stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ on \mathbb{R}^d is called a solution of (2), if $X \in S^p([0, T])$ and \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$X_t = \xi + \int_0^t b_s(X_s, \mathcal{L}_{X_s}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dB_s^H + \int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}_s(X_s) d\tilde{B}_s^{\tilde{H}}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

- Note that σ and $\tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{L}_X)$ are both deterministic functions, then $\int_0^t \sigma_s dB_s^H$ and $\int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}_s(\mathcal{L}_{X_s}) d\tilde{B}_s^{\tilde{H}}$ can be regarded as **Wiener integrals w.r.t. fractional Brownian motions**.

Theorem (Fan-Huang-Ling, arXiv:2304.00768)

Suppose that $\xi \in L^p(\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P})$ with $p \geq 1$ and one of the following conditions:

- (I) $H \in (1/2, 1)$, $b, \sigma, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfy **(H1)** and $p > \max\{1/H, 1/\tilde{H}\}$;
- (II) $H \in (0, 1/2)$, $b, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfies **(H1)**, σ_t does not depend on t and $p > 1/\tilde{H}$.

Then Eq. (2) has a unique solution $X \in S^p([0, T])$. Moreover, let $(X_t^\mu)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the solution to (2) with $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} = \mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and denote $P_t^* \mu = \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu}$, $t \in [0, T]$. Then it holds

$$\mathbb{W}_p(P_t^* \mu, P_t^* \nu) \leq C_{p, T, \kappa, \tilde{H}} \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu), \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Sketch of the proof

For any $\mu \in C([0, T], \mathcal{P}_p)$, consider

$$dX_t = b_t(X_t, \mu_t)dt + \sigma_t dB_t^H + \tilde{\sigma}_t(\mu_t)d\tilde{B}_t^H, \quad t \in [0, T], X_0 = \xi. \quad (\text{Denote its solution as } X_t^{\mu, \xi})$$

- To show $\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\mu, \xi}|^p \right) < \infty$.
- Define the mapping $\Phi^\xi : C([0, T], \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ as

$$\Phi_t^\xi(\mu) = \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu, \xi}}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

To show

$$\rho_{\lambda_0}(\Phi^\xi(\mu), \Phi^\xi(\nu)) < \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\lambda_0}(\mu, \nu), \quad \mu, \nu \in E^\xi,$$

where λ_0 is a proper constant, and $E^\xi := \{\mu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)) : \mu_0 = \mathcal{L}_\xi\}$ is equipped with the complete metric

$$\rho_{\lambda_0}(\nu, \mu) := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-\lambda_0 t} \mathbb{W}_p(\nu_t, \mu_t), \quad \mu, \nu \in E^\xi.$$

- Using the Banach fixed point theorem, we conclude that

$$\Phi_t^\xi(\mu) = \mu_t, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

has a unique solution $\mu \in E^\xi$.

Remark

- **Main tool:** (The Hardy-Littlewood inequality) Let $1 < p < q < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \alpha$. If $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $L^p(0, \infty)$, then $I_{0+}^\alpha f(x)$ converges absolutely for almost every x , and moreover

$$\|I_{0+}^\alpha f\|_{L^q(0, \infty)} \leq C_{p,q} \|f\|_{L^p(0, \infty)}$$

holds for some positive constant $C_{p,q}$. Here, the **left-sided fractional Riemann-Liouville integral of f of order α** is defined as

$$I_{0+}^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f(y)}{(x-y)^{1-\alpha}} dy.$$

Remark

Under the same conditions as the theorem above, we obtain that for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\varrho_s^\mu - \varrho_s^\nu|^p \right) \leq C_{p,T,\kappa,\tilde{H}} \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu)^p.$$

Here we have set $\varrho_s^\mu := \int_0^s \tilde{\sigma}_r(P_r^* \mu) d\tilde{B}_r^{\tilde{H}}$ for all $s \in [0, T]$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The non-degenerate case: Log-Harnack inequality

DDSDE:

$$dX_t = b_t(X_t, X_t)dt + \sigma_t dB_t^H + \tilde{\sigma}_t(X_t) d\tilde{B}_t^{\tilde{H}}, \quad X_0 = \xi.$$

Assumptions

(H1') For every $t \in [0, T]$, $b_t(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^{1,(1,0)}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, there exists a non-decreasing function κ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|\nabla b_t(\cdot, \mu)(x)\| + |D^L b_t(x, \cdot)(\mu)(y)| \leq \kappa_t, \quad \|\tilde{\sigma}_t(\mu) - \tilde{\sigma}_t(\nu)\| \leq \kappa_t \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu),$$

and $|b_t(0, \delta_0)| + \|\sigma_t\| + \|\tilde{\sigma}_t(\delta_0)\| \leq \kappa_t$.

(H2) There exists a constant $\tilde{\kappa} > 0$ such that

(i) for any $t, s \in [0, T]$, $x, y, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla b_t(\cdot, \mu)(x) - \nabla b_s(\cdot, \nu)(y)\| + |D^L b_t(x, \cdot)(\mu)(z_1) - D^L b_s(y, \cdot)(\nu)(z_2)| \\ & \leq \tilde{\kappa}(|t - s|^\alpha + |x - y|^\beta + |z_1 - z_2|^\gamma + \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in (H - 1/2, 1]$ and $\beta, \gamma \in (1 - 1/(2H), 1]$.

(ii) σ is invertible and σ^{-1} is Hölder continuous of order $\delta \in (H - 1/2, 1]$:

$$\|\sigma^{-1}(t) - \sigma^{-1}(s)\| \leq \tilde{\kappa}|t - s|^\delta, \quad t, s \in [0, T].$$

The non-degenerate case: Log-Harnack inequality

Theorem (Fan-Huang-Ling, arXiv:2304.00768)

Consider Eq. (2). If one of the two following assumptions holds:

- (I) $H \in (1/2, 1)$, $b, \sigma, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfy **(H1')**, **(H2)** and $p \geq 2(1 + \beta)$;
- (II) $H \in (0, 1/2)$, $b, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfies **(H1)**, σ_t does not depend on t and $p \geq 2$.

Then for any $t \in (0, T]$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $0 < f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(P_t \log f)(\nu) \leq \log(P_t f)(\mu) + \varpi(H),$$

where

$$\varpi(H) = \begin{cases} C_{T, \kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, H, \tilde{H}} \left(1 + \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu)^{2\beta} + \frac{1}{t^{2H}} \right) \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu)^2, & H \in (1/2, 1), \\ C_{T, \kappa, H, \tilde{H}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^{2H}} \right) \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu)^2, & H \in (0, 1/2). \end{cases}$$

The non-degenerate case: Log-Harnack inequality

Remark

The log-Harnack inequality obtained above is equivalent to the following entropy-cost estimate

$$\text{Ent}(P_t^* \nu | P_t^* \mu) \leq \varpi(H), \quad t \in (0, T], \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\text{Ent}(P_t^* \nu | P_t^* \mu)$ is the relative entropy of $P_t^* \nu$ with respect to $P_t^* \mu$ and p is given as in the theorem above.

Facts needed in the proof of the theorem:

- \mathcal{H} : the reproducing kernel Hilbert space
 $K_H^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, $K_H : L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow I_{0+}^{H+1/2}(L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d))$, $R_H = K_H \circ K_H^*$.
- W is a d -dimensional Wiener process such that

$$B_t^H = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

The non-degenerate case: Log-Harnack inequality

Sketch of the proof

- For fixed $t_0 \in (0, T]$, consider the following coupling DDSDE: $t \in [0, t_0]$,

$$dY_t = \left[b_t(X_t^\mu, P_t^* \mu) + \frac{1}{t_0} (X_0^\mu - X_0^\nu + \varrho_{t_0}^\mu - \varrho_{t_0}^\nu) \right] dt + \sigma_t dB_t^H + \tilde{\sigma}_t(P_t^* \nu) d\tilde{B}_t^H, \quad Y_0 = X_0^\nu. \quad (3)$$

- Let $\bar{Y}_t = Y_t - \varrho_t^\nu$ and rewrite (3) as

$$d\bar{Y}_t = b_t(\bar{Y}_t + \varrho_t^\nu, P_t^* \nu) dt + \sigma_t d\bar{B}_t^H, \quad t \in [0, t_0], \quad \bar{Y}_0 = Y_0 = X_0^\nu,$$

where

$$\bar{B}_t^H := B_t^H - \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1} \zeta_s ds = \int_0^t K_H(t, s) \left(dW_s - K_H^{-1} \left(\int_0^\cdot \sigma_r^{-1} \zeta_r dr \right) (s) ds \right)$$

with

$$\zeta_s := b_s(Y_s, P_s^* \nu) - b_s(X_s^\mu, P_s^* \mu) - \frac{1}{t_0} (X_0^\mu - X_0^\nu + \varrho_{t_0}^\mu - \varrho_{t_0}^\nu).$$

- $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{Y}_{t_0}} |_{R^{\bar{H}, 0} d\mathbb{P}^{\bar{H}, 0}} = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{X}_{t_0}^\nu} |_{\mathbb{P}^{\bar{H}, 0}}$, where $\bar{X}^\nu := X^\nu - \varrho^\nu$ satisfies SDE

$$d\bar{X}_t^\nu = b_t(\bar{X}_t^\nu + \varrho_t^\nu, P_t^* \nu) dt + \sigma_t dB_t^H, \quad t \in [0, t_0], \quad \bar{X}_0^\nu = X_0^\nu.$$

Then, the law of $Y_{t_0} = \bar{Y}_{t_0} + \varrho_{t_0}^\nu$ under $R^{\bar{H}, 0} d\mathbb{P}^{\bar{H}, 0}$ is the same as one of $X_{t_0}^\nu = \bar{X}_{t_0}^\nu + \varrho_{t_0}^\nu$ under $\mathbb{P}^{\bar{H}, 0}$.

The non-degenerate case: Bismut formula

Bismut formula for the L -derivative of (2):

For every $t \in (0, T]$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$, we are to find an integrable random variable $M_t(\mu, \phi)$ such that

$$D_\phi^L(P_t f)(\mu) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_t^\mu)M_t(\mu, \phi)), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $(X_t^\mu)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the solution to (2) with $\mathcal{L}_{X_0^\mu} = \mu$ and $P_t^* \mu = \mathcal{L}_{X_t^\mu}$ for every $t \in [0, T]$.

For any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ and $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$, let $X_t^{\mu_\varepsilon, \phi}$ denote the solution of (2) with $X_0^{\mu_\varepsilon, \phi} = (\text{Id} + \varepsilon\phi)(X_0^\mu)$, $\mu_{\varepsilon, \phi} := \mathcal{L}_{(\text{Id} + \varepsilon\phi)(X_0^\mu)}$.

Introduce the spatial derivative of X_t^μ along ϕ :

$$\nabla_\phi X_t^\mu := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{X_t^{\mu_\varepsilon, \phi} - X_t^\mu}{\varepsilon}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mu).$$

Assumptions

(H3) There exists a non-decreasing function κ_\cdot such that

$$|D^L \bar{\sigma}_t(\mu)(x)| \leq \kappa_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The non-degenerate case: Bismut formula

Lemma

Assume that **(H1')**, **(H3)** hold and σ_t does not depend on t if $H \in (0, 1/2)$. For any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$ with $p > \max\{1/H, 1/\tilde{H}\}$ if $H \in (1/2, 1)$ or $p > 1/\tilde{H}$ if $H \in (0, 1/2)$, then the following assertions hold.

(i) $\nabla_{\phi} X_t^{\mu}$ exists in $L^p(\Omega \rightarrow C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{P})$ such that $\nabla_{\phi} X_t^{\mu}$ is the unique solution of the following linear SDE

$$\begin{aligned} dG_t^{\phi} = & \left[\nabla_{G_t^{\phi}} b_t(\cdot, \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu}})(X_t^{\mu}) + \left(\mathbb{E} \langle D^L b_t(y, \cdot)(\mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu}})(X_t^{\mu}), G_t^{\phi} \rangle \Big|_{y=X_t^{\mu}} \right) dt \right. \\ & \left. + \mathbb{E} \langle D^L \tilde{\sigma}_t(\mathcal{L}_{X_t^{\mu}})(X_t^{\mu}), G_t^{\phi} \rangle d\tilde{B}_t^{\tilde{H}}, \quad G_0^{\phi} = \phi(X_0^{\mu}), \right. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\nabla_{\phi} X_t^{\mu}|^p \right) \leq C_{p, T, \kappa, H, \tilde{H}} \|\phi\|_{L^p(\mu)}^p.$$

(ii) It holds

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \frac{\varrho_s^{\mu, \varepsilon, \phi} - \varrho_s^{\mu}}{\varepsilon} - \Lambda_s \right|^p \right) = 0,$$

where Λ_s is defined as

$$\Lambda_s := \int_0^s \left\langle \mathbb{E} \left[\langle D^L \tilde{\sigma}_r(P_r^* \mu)(X_r^{\mu}), \nabla_{\phi} X_r^{\mu} \rangle \right], d\tilde{B}_r^{\tilde{H}} \right\rangle, \quad s \in [0, T].$$

The non-degenerate case: Bismut formula

Theorem (Fan-Huang-Ling, arXiv:2304.00768)

Consider Eq. (2). If one of the two following assumptions holds:

(I) $H \in (1/2, 1)$, $b, \sigma, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfy **(H1')**, **(H2)** and **(H3)**;

(II) $H \in (0, 1/2)$, $b, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfies **(H1')**, **(H3)** and σ_t does not depend on t ,

then for any $t \in (0, T]$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$ with $p \geq 2(1 + \beta)$ if $H \in (1/2, 1)$ or $p \geq 2$ if $H \in (0, 1/2)$, $D_\phi^L(P_t f)(\mu)$ exists and satisfies

$$D_\phi^L(P_t f)(\mu) = \mathbb{E} \left(f(X_t^\mu) \int_0^t \left\langle K_H^{-1} \left(\int_0^\cdot \sigma_r^{-1} \Upsilon_{r,t} dr \right) (\cdot), dW_s \right\rangle \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\Upsilon_{\cdot, \cdot}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{r,t} = & \frac{\phi(X_0^\mu) + \Lambda_t}{t} + \nabla b_r(\cdot, P_r^* \mu)(X_r^\mu) \left(\frac{t-r}{t} \phi(X_0^\mu) - \frac{r}{t} \Lambda_t + \Lambda_r \right) \\ & + \mathbb{E}[\langle D^L b_r(x, \cdot)(P_r^* \mu)(X_r^\mu), \nabla_\phi X_r^\mu \rangle] |_{x=X_r^\mu}, \quad 0 \leq r < t \leq T \end{aligned}$$

with Λ_\cdot defined in the lemma above.

The non-degenerate case: Bismut formula

Remark

(i) The term $K_H^{-1} \left(\int_0^\cdot \sigma_r^{-1} \Upsilon_{r,t} dr \right) (s)$ on the right-hand side of (4) can rewrite as follows

$$K_H^{-1} \left(\int_0^\cdot \sigma_r^{-1} \Upsilon_{r,t} dr \right) (s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(H-\frac{1}{2})s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-H)} \left[\frac{s^{1-2H} \sigma_s^{-1} \Upsilon_{s,t}}{H-\frac{1}{2}} + \sigma_s^{-1} \Upsilon_{s,t} \int_0^s \frac{s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}} dr + \right. \\ \left. \Upsilon_{s,t} \int_0^s \frac{(\sigma_s^{-1} - \sigma_r^{-1}) r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}} dr + \int_0^s \frac{(\Upsilon_{s,t} - \Upsilon_{r,t}) \sigma_r^{-1} r^{\frac{1}{2}-H}}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}} dr \right], & H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\ \frac{\sigma_s^{-1} s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-H)} \int_0^s \frac{r^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \Upsilon_{r,t}}{(s-r)^{\frac{1}{2}+H}} dr, & H \in (0, \frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

(ii) The estimate of the L -derivative: for any $t \in (0, T], f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|D^L(P_t f)(\mu)\|_{L_{\mu}^{p^*}} \leq C_{T, \kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, H, \tilde{H}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^H} \right) \left((P_t |f|^{p^*})(\mu) \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}},$$

where $C_{T, \kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, H, \tilde{H}}$ is a positive constant which is independent of $\tilde{\kappa}$ when $H \in (0, 1/2)$, and $p \geq 2(1 + \beta)$ if $H \in (1/2, 1)$ or $p \geq 2$ if $H \in (0, 1/2)$.

The degenerate case: Log-Harnack inequality

Let A and B be two matrices of order $m \times m$ and $m \times l$, we now consider the following distribution dependent **degenerate SDE**:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{(1)} = (AX_t^{(1)} + BX_t^{(2)})dt, \\ dX_t^{(2)} = b_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma_t dB_t^H + \tilde{\sigma}_t(X_t) d\tilde{B}_t^{\tilde{H}}, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, X_t^{(2)})$, $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{m+l} \times \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^{m+l}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$, $\sigma(t)$ is an invertible $l \times l$ -matrix for every $t \in [0, T]$, $\tilde{\sigma} : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^{m+l}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l \otimes \mathbb{R}^l$ are measurable.

To establish the log-Harnack inequality, we let

$$U_t = \int_0^t \frac{s(t-s)}{t^2} e^{-sA} BB^* e^{-sA^*} ds \geq \ell(t) \mathbf{I}_{m \times m}, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad (6)$$

where $\ell \in C([0, T])$ satisfies $\ell(t) > 0$ for any $t \in (0, T]$ and $\mathbf{I}_{m \times m}$ is the $m \times m$ identity matrix. It is obvious that U_t is invertible with $\|U_t^{-1}\| \leq 1/\ell(t)$ for every $t \in (0, T]$.

The degenerate case: Log-Harnack inequality

Theorem (Fan-Huang-Ling, arXiv:2304.00768)

Consider Eq. (5). Assume (6) and if one of the two following assumptions holds:

- (I) $H \in (1/2, 1)$, $b, \sigma, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfy **(H1')** and **(H2)** with $d = m + l$, and $p \geq 2(1 + \beta)$;
- (II) $H \in (0, 1/2)$, $b, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfies **(H1)** with $d = m + l$, σ_t does not depend on t and $p \geq 2$.

Then for any $t \in (0, T]$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathbb{R}^{m+l})$ and $0 < f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+l})$,

$$(P_t \log f)(\nu) \leq \log(P_t f)(\mu) + \chi(H),$$

where

$$\chi(H) = \begin{cases} C_{T, \kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, H, \tilde{H}} \left(1 + \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu)^{2\beta} + \frac{1}{t^{2H}} + \frac{1}{\ell^2(t)} + \frac{1}{t^{2H} \ell^2(t)} \right) \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu)^2, & H \in (1/2, 1), \\ C_{T, \kappa, H, \tilde{H}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^{2H}} + \frac{1}{\ell^2(t)} + \frac{1}{t^{2H} \ell^2(t)} \right) \mathbb{W}_p(\mu, \nu)^2, & H \in (0, 1/2). \end{cases}$$

The degenerate case: Bismut formula

Theorem (Fan-Huang-Ling, arXiv:2304.00768)

Consider Eq. (5). Assume (6) and if one of the two following assumptions holds:

(I) $H \in (1/2, 1)$, $b, \sigma, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfy **(H1')**, **(H2)** and **(H3)**;

(II) $H \in (0, 1/2)$, $b, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfies **(H1')**, **(H3)** with $d = m + l$, σ_t does not depend on t ,

then for any $t \in (0, T]$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+l})$, $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{m+l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+l}, \mu)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^{m+l})$ with $p \geq 2(1 + \beta)$ if $H \in (1/2, 1)$ or $p \geq 2$ if $H \in (0, 1/2)$, $D_\phi^L(P_t f)(\mu)$ exists and satisfies

$$D_\phi^L(P_t f)(\mu) = \mathbb{E} \left(f(X_t^\mu) \int_0^t \left\langle K_H^{-1} \left(\int_0^\cdot \sigma_r^{-1} \Theta_{r,t} dr \right) (s), dW_s \right\rangle \right),$$

where $\Theta_{\cdot, \cdot}$ is defined as

$$\Theta_{s,t} = \nabla b_s(\cdot, P_s^* \mu)(X_s^\mu) \tilde{h}_{s,t} + \mathbb{E}[\langle D^L b_s(x, \cdot)(P_s^* \mu)(X_s^\mu), \nabla_\phi X_s^\mu \rangle] |_{x=X_s^\mu} - (\Xi_t)'(s).$$

with

$$\tilde{h}_{s,t} := \left(e^{sA} \phi^{(1)}(X_0^\mu) + \int_0^s e^{(s-r)A} B \left(\phi^{(2)}(X_0^\mu) + \Xi_t(r) + \Lambda_r \right) dr, \phi^{(2)}(X_0^\mu) + \Xi_t(s) + \Lambda_s \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_t(s) := & -\frac{s}{t_0} (\phi^{(2)}(X_0^\mu) + \Lambda_t) - \frac{s(t-s)}{t^2} B^* e^{-sA^*} U_t^{-1} \phi^{(1)}(X_0^\mu) \\ & - \frac{s(t-s)}{t^2} B^* e^{-sA^*} U_t^{-1} \int_0^{t_0} e^{-rA} B \left[\frac{t-r}{t_0} \phi^{(2)}(X_0^\mu) - \frac{r}{t_0} \Lambda_t + \Lambda_r \right] dr. \end{aligned}$$

The degenerate case: Bismut formula

Remark

The entropy-cost and intrinsic derivative estimates:

For any $t \in (0, T]$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\text{Ent}(P_t^* \nu | P_t^* \mu) \leq \chi(H)$$

and

$$\|D^L(P_t f)(\mu)\|_{L_\mu^{p^*}} \leq C_{T, \kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, H, \tilde{H}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{t^H} + \frac{1}{\ell(t)} + \frac{1}{t^H \ell(t)} \right) \left((P_t |f|^{p^*})(\mu) \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}}$$

where $C_{T, \kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, H, \tilde{H}}$ is a positive constant which is independent of $\tilde{\kappa}$ when $H \in (0, 1/2)$, and $p \geq 2(1 + \beta)$ if $H \in (1/2, 1)$ or $p \geq 2$ if $H \in (0, 1/2)$.

To guarantee (6) holds, one needs to impose some non-degeneracy condition on the matrix B . For instance, assume the following Kalman rank condition:

$$\text{Rank}[B, AB, \dots, A^k B] = m \tag{7}$$

holds for some integer number $k \in [0, m - 1]$ (in particular, if $k = 0$, (7) reduces to $\text{Rank}[B] = m$), then (6) is satisfied with $\ell(t) = C(t \wedge 1)^{2k+1}$ for positive constant C .

Further problems and main references

Further problems

- Well-posedness in multiplicative case
- Chaos propagation

Main references

- 1 D. Baños, *The Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula for mean-field stochastic differential equations*, AIHP, 2018.
- 2 J.H. Bao, P.P. Ren and F.-Y. Wang, *Bismut formula for Lions derivative of distribution-path dependent SDEs*, JDE, 2021.
- 3 X. Huang and F.-Y. Wang, *Regularities and exponential ergodicity in entropy for SDEs driven by distribution dependent noise*, arXiv:2209.14619.
- 4 P.P. Ren and F.-Y. Wang, *Bismut formula for Lions derivative of distribution dependent SDEs and applications*, JDE, 2019.
- 5 F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution dependent SDEs for Landau type equations*, SPA, 2018.
- 6 F.-Y. Wang and X.C. Zhang, *Derivative formulae and applications for degenerate diffusion semigroups*, JMPA, 2013.

Thank you very much for your kind attention!